

DETERMINATION OF THE HYPERFINE AND ELECTROWEAK INTERACTIONS PARAMETERS AND PARITY NON-CONSERVATION AMPLITUDES IN HEAVY ATOMS AND NUCLEI WITHIN NUCLEAR-QED THEORY

It is presented new approach to determination of the electroweak and hyperfine interactions parameters and parity non-conservation effect in heavy atomic and nuclear systems, based on the combined QED perturbation theory formalism and relativistic nuclear mean-field theory. Results of estimating some fundamental hyperfine and electroweak constants in the Cs, Tl and Yb isotopes are presented. Firstly it is predicted the weak charge for the Yb nucleus.

1. INTRODUCTION

Different topics of the modern physics of electroweak interactions are now a subject of intensive theoretical and experimental interest. From the other side, the parity violation (non-conservation) experiments in atomic physics provide an important possibility to deduce information on the Standard Model independently of high-energy physics experiments [1–8]. The recent LEP experiments are fulfilled [1, 2], that yield extremely accurate values for Z-boson properties. Although the spectacular experimental achievements of particle physics in the last decade have strengthened the Standard Model (SM) as an adequate description of nature, they have also revealed that the SM matter represents a mere 5 % or so of the energy density of the Universe, which clearly points to some physics beyond the SM despite the desperate lack of direct experimental evidence. The sector responsible for the spontaneous breaking of the SM electroweak symmetry is likely to be the first to provide experimental hints for this new physics. The fundamental purposes of the high-precision atomic PNC studies are to search for new physics beyond the SM of the electroweak interaction by precise evaluation of the weak charge Q_W and to probe parity violation in the nucleus by evaluation of the nuclear anapole moment. The detailed review of these topics can be found in refs. [1–10], in particular, speech is about brief introducing the SM physics and the conventional Higgs mechanism and a survey of recent ideas on how breaking electroweak symmetry dynamics can be explained.

The most popular multiconfiguration Dirac–Fock (MCDF) method for calculating parity and time reversal symmetry violations in many-electron atoms has some serious disadvantages [3, 17, 26]. This fact has stimulated a devel-

opment of different versions of the many-body perturbation theory (PT), namely, the PT with relativistic Hartree-Fock (RHF) and DF zeroth approximations, QED-PT and nuclear-QED PT [11–44].

In present paper the new theoretical approach, namely, nuclear-QED PT is used for detection of the hyperfine structure and electroweak interaction parameters, scalar-pseudo-scalar interaction constant and parity non-conservation (PNC) effect in atomic system. In fact the N-QED PT is based on the combining ab initio QED PT formalism and nuclear relativistic middle-field (RMF) model and allows to fulfil studying the spectra for atomic systems with an account of the relativistic, correlation, nuclear, radiative effects [10, 38–44]. The important feature is the correct accounting for the inter electron correlations, nuclear, Breit and QED radiative corrections. All correlation corrections of the second order and dominated classes of the higher orders diagrams are taken into account [10]. The results of studying the different atomic systems are presented.

2. NUCLEAR-QED PT APPROACH TO ELECTROWEAK INTERACTIONS IN HEAVY FINITE FERMI-SYSTEMS AND PARITY-NON-CONSERVATION TRANSITION AMPLITUDE DETERMINATION

The wave electron functions zeroth basis is found from the Dirac equation solution with potential, which includes the core ab initio potential, electric, polarization potentials of nucleus. All correlation corrections of the second and high orders of PT (electrons screening, particle-hole interaction etc.) are accounted for [10]. The concrete nuclear model is based on

the relativistic mean-field (RMF) model for the ground-state calculation of the nucleus. Though we have no guaranty that these wave-functions yield a close approximation to nature, the success of the RMF approach supports our choice [35]. These wave functions do not suffer from known deficiencies of other approaches, e. g., the wrong asymptotics of wave functions obtained in a harmonic oscillator potential. The RMF model has been designed as a renormalizable meson-field theory for nuclear matter and finite nuclei. The realization of nonlinear self-interactions of the scalar meson led to a quantitative description of nuclear ground states. As a self-consistent mean-field model (for a comprehensive review see ref. [35]), its ansatz is a Lagrangian or Hamiltonian that incorporates the effective, in-medium nucleon-nucleon interaction. Recently the self-consistent models have undergone a reinterpretation, which explains their quantitative success in view of the facts that nucleons are composite objects and that the mesons employed in RMF have only a loose correspondence to the physical meson spectrum. They are seen as covariant Kohn-Sham schemes and as approximations to the true functional of the nuclear ground state. As a Kohn-Sham scheme, the RMF model can incorporate certain ground-state correlations and yields a ground-state description beyond the literal mean-field picture. RMF models are effective field theories for nuclei below an energy scale of 1 GeV, separating the long- and intermediate-range nuclear physics from short-distance physics, involving, i. e., short-range correlations, nucleon form factors, vacuum polarization etc, which is absorbed into the various terms and coupling constants.

In our approach we have used so called NL3-NLC and generalized Ivanov et al approach (see details in refs. [37, 39, 4]), which are among the most successful parameterizations available. Further one can write the Dirac—Fock-like equations for a multi-electron system $\{\text{core-}nlj\}$. Formally they fall into one-electron Dirac equations for the orbitals nlj with the total potential $V(r)$. Radial parts F and G of two components of the Dirac function for electron, which moves in the potential $V(r, R)$ are defined by solution of the Dirac equations (PT zeroth order). The total potential includes the electric potentials of a nucleus with using the RNF charge distribution, exchange-correlation and radiative potentials. The radiative QED (the self-energy part of the Lamb shift and the vacuum polarization contribution in the lower order plus corrections of the high QED orders) are accounted for within the QED formalism [4]. The continuum pressure effects and contribution of the autionization states are taken onto account by means the Dirac-Sturm approach. The full description of the corresponding models and other details of the general method are presented in refs. [4, 10, 37–44].

Below we are limited by presenting the key formulae for determination of the PNC transi-

tion amplitude. The dominative contribution to the PNC amplitude is provided by the spin-independent part of the operator for a weak interaction, which should be added to the atomic Hamiltonian [5]:

$$H = H_{at} + \mu \sum_j H_W(j),$$

$$H_W^1 = \frac{G_F}{2\sqrt{2}} Q_W \gamma_5 \rho(r), \quad (1)$$

where $G_F = g^2/4\sqrt{2}m_W^2$ is the Fermi constant of the weak interaction, γ_5 — is the Dirac matrice, m_W is the mass of the W boson, $\rho(r)$ is a density of the charge distribution in a nucleus and Q_W is a weak charge of a nucleus, linked with number of neutrons N and protons Z and the Weinberg angle θ_W in the Standard Model as follows:

$$Q_W = Z(1 - 4\sin^2\theta_W) - N. \quad (2)$$

The latter can be rewritten with accounting for the radiative corrections as [5, 18]:

$$Q_W = \{Z(1 - [4,012 \pm 0,010]\sin^2\theta_W) - N\} \times \\ \times (0,9857 \pm 0,0004)(1 + 0,0078T), \\ \{\sin^2\theta_W = 0,2323 + 0,00365S - 0,00261T\} \quad (3)$$

The parameters S, T parameterize the looped corrections in the terms of conservation (S) and violation (T) of an isospin. The spin-dependent contribution to the PNC amplitude has three distinct sources: the nuclear anapole moment ((that is considered as an electromagnetic characteristics of system, where the PNC takes a place; generally speaking, speech is about the arisen spin structure and the magnetic field distribution is similar to the solenoid field), the Z -boson exchange interaction from nucleon axial-vector currents ($A_n V_e$), and the combined action of the hyperfine interaction and spin-independent Z -boson exchange from nucleon vector ($V_n A_e$) currents [7, 9, 34]. The anapole moment contribution strongly dominates.

The above-mentioned interactions can be represented by the Hamiltonian

$$H_W^i = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} k_i (\alpha \cdot I) \rho(r), \quad (4)$$

where $k(i=a)$ is an anapole contribution, $k(i=2)=k_{z0}$ — axial-vector contribution, $k(i=kh)=k_{Q_W}$ is a contribution due to the combined action of the hyperfine interaction and spin-independent Z exchange. The estimate of the corresponding matrix elements is in fact reduced to the calculation of the integrals as [10]:

$$\langle i | H_W^1 | j \rangle = i \frac{G_F}{2\sqrt{2}} Q_W \delta_{k_i - k_j} \delta_{m_i m_j} \times \\ \times \int_0^\infty dr [F_i(r) G_j(r) - G_i(r) F_j(r)] \rho(r). \quad (5)$$

The reduced matrix element is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle i \| H_W^1 \| j \rangle &= i \frac{G_F}{2\sqrt{2}} Q_W \times \\ &\times \int_0^\infty dr [F_i(r)G_j(r) - G_i(r)F_j(r)]\rho(r). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Further the general expression for the corresponding nuclear spin-independent PNC amplitude for a-b transition is written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a | \text{PNC} | b \rangle &= - \sum_n \frac{\langle b | e\alpha_\nu A^\nu | n \rangle \langle n | H_W^{(1)} | a \rangle}{\varepsilon_a - \varepsilon_n} + \\ &+ \frac{\langle b | H_W^{(1)} | n \rangle \langle n | e\alpha_\nu A^\nu | a \rangle}{\varepsilon_b - \varepsilon_n}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Here the following notations are used: $|a\rangle = |aIF_F M_F\rangle$, $|b\rangle = |bIF_I M_I\rangle$, I — spin of a nucleus, $F_{I,F}$ — is a total momentum of an atom and M — its z component (I, F are the initial and final states).

The corresponding spin-dependent PNC contribution is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a | \text{PNC} | b \rangle^{sd} &= k_a \langle a | \text{PNC} | b \rangle^{(a)} + \\ &+ k_2 \langle a | \text{PNC} | b \rangle^{(2)} + k_{\text{hf}} \langle a | \text{PNC} | b \rangle^{(\text{hf})}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

It should be noted the expressions for the matrix elements $\langle a | \text{PNC} | b \rangle^{(a)}$ and others in Eq. (8) are similar to equation (12), however have much more complicated form.

3. RESULTS AND FUTURE PROSPECTS

In table 1 we listed the calculated and experimental values of the hyperfine structure (hfs) energy and magnetic moments (in nuclear magnetons) in the $^{207}\text{Tl}^{80+}$, which are determined within the nuclear—QED theory and other different theoretical models [12—14]. The key quantitative factor of agreement between our N-QED theory and experimental data is

connected with the correct accounting for the inter electron correlations, nuclear, Breit and QED radiative corrections (including magnetic moment distribution in a nucleus and nuclear corrections).

Table 1

The hfs energy and magnetic moment (in nuclear magnetons) in $^{207}\text{Tl}^{80+}$ [12—14]

Magn. moment [μ_N]	HS	NLC	Tomaselli	N-QED
Theory	1.8769	1.8758	1.6472	1.8764
Exp. [14]	1.8765(5)			
HFS [eV]	HS	NLC	Tomaselli	N-QED
ΔE_{HFS}^1	3,721	3,729	3,2592	3,5209
ΔE_{QED}	−0,0201		−0,0178	−0,0207
Total	3,701	3,708	3,2592	3,5002

In table 2 there are listed the PNC amplitudes (in units of $10^{-11}iea_B(-Q_W)/N$) for a number of radiative transitions in spectra of the Cs, Tl, Yb isotopes, which are calculated by the different methods (without the Breit corrections): DF, RHF, MCDF, many-body perturbation theory (MBPT) and our nuclear-QED PT results (other data from refs. [25—34]).

Let us underline that the values of the weak charge are firstly predicted by us for ^{173}Yb atoms. The analysis of results shows that in principle a majority of theoretical approaches provides physically reasonable agreement with the Standard model data, but the important question is how much exact this agreement. In our opinion, however, the precise estimates indicate on the tiny deviation from the Standard model. In any case analysis of the data allow to conclude that the SM violation has a place, however it can be hardly stated on significant violation. Nevertheless, one could turn to attention on the “sensitivity” of the PNC experiments to New Physics for energies, which are hardly reached at the modern colliders. This fact is clearly illustrated by the figure 1, where the

Table 2

The PNC amplitudes (in units of $10^{-11}iea_B(-Q_W)/N$) and estimated values of the weak charge Q_W for different heavy atoms, predicted in different approaches (see text)

Isotope, transition	$E_{\text{PNC}} Q_W$	N-QED	MCDF	MBPT-DF	MCDF-QED	TDRHF-C	RCC
^{133}Cs 6s-7s	E_{PNC}	−0.8985	−0.935 −0.905	−0.897 −0.904	−0.8981 −0.9055	−0.898 −0.910 −0.902	−0.9054 −0.899
^{133}Cs 6s-7s $Q_W^{\text{SM}} = -73,19$	Q_W	−72.62	−69.78 −71.09	−72.69 −72.18	−72.65 −72.06	−72.66 −71.70 −72.42	−72.06 −72.58
^{173}Yb 6s ²¹ S ₀ -5d6s ³ D ₁ $Q_W^{\text{SM}} = -95,44$	E_{PNC} Q_W	−97.07 −92.31	— —	— —	— —	— —	— —
^{205}Tl 6p _{1/2} -6p _{3/2}	E_{PNC}	26.5114	−26.75	−26.5	—	—	—
^{205}Tl 6p _{1/2} -6p _{3/2} $Q_W^{\text{SM}} = -116,81$	Q_W	−116.15	−112.4	−116.2	—	—	—




Figure 1. The limitations on the Z' boson mass and missing angle in the models beyond the Standard Model (see comment in the text)

darkened region is eliminated from the measurements in the PNC and colliders experiments.

Therefore, in this paper we presented new more accurate data on some fundamental hyperfine and electroweak constants in the Cs, Tl and Yb isotopes using a new approach to determination of the electroweak and hyperfine interactions parameters and parity non-conservation effect in heavy atomic and nuclear systems, based on the combined QED perturbation theory formalism and relativistic nuclear mean-field theory. Some listed data on the indicated constants directly stimulate carrying out new sufficiently precise experiments in order to provide the low-energy test of the Standard Model. The rare-earth elements have to be especially interesting as they have very complicated spectra of autoionization resonances (with very unusual from physical point of view their behavior in a weak electric and laser fields; the known effect of resonances giant broadening [4]). The elementary comments show that the prospects of the PNC experiments with Stark pumping (or a polarization plane rotation) of the individual states (in the considered isotopes,

including the rare-earth elements; probably more effective possibility is given by studying multicharged ions of these elements) and simultaneously polarized laser field dressing (with a cold-atom fountain or interferometer) could provide comfortable conditions for precise experimental observation of the weak effects.

REFERENCES

1. Grojean C., New approaches to electroweak symmetry breaking // *Physics — Uspekhi.* — 2007. — Vol. 50. — P. 3–42; Review of Particle Properties, Particle Physics Booklet (AIP). — July, 2006.
2. Shabalin E. P., What future for CP- and T-violation studies and CPT-invariance tests? // *Physics — Uspekhi.* — 2001. — Vol. 171. — P. 951–976.
3. Grant I. P., *Relativistic Quantum Theory of Atoms and Molecules.* — Oxford, 2008. — 650 P.
4. Glushkov A. V., *Relativistic Quantum Theory. Quantum, mechanics of Atomic Systems.* — Odessa: Astroprint, 2008. — 900 P.
5. Khriplovich I. B., *Parity Nonconservation in Atomic Phenomena.* — Gordon and Breach, Philadelphia, 1999. — 250 P.
6. Grojean C., New approaches to electroweak symmetry breaking // *Physics — Uspekhi.* — 2007. — Vol. 177. — P. 3–42.
7. Khriplovich I. B., Discovery of anapole moment // *Physics — Uspekhi.* — 1999. — Vol. 167. — 1214–1216.
8. Auerbach N., Search for electric dipole moments in atoms of radioactive nuclei // *J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys.* — 2008. — Vol. 35. — P. 014040.
9. Safronova M. S., Rupsi Pal, Jiang D., Kozlov M. G., Johnson W. R., Safronova U. I., New directions in atomic PNC // *Nucl. Phys. A.* — 2009. — Vol. 827. — P. 411–413.
10. Khetselius O. Yu., *Hyperfine structure of spectral lines of the heavy atoms and multicharged ions.* — Odessa: Astroprint, 2008. — 210 P.
11. Gaigalas G., Gaidamauskas E., Jonsson P., Multiconfiguration Dirac—Hartree—Fock calculations for the hyperfine-structure parameters and the scalar-pseudoscalar interaction constant of ^{133}Cs // *Journal of Physics CS.* — 2008. — Vol. 130. — P. 012008.
12. Nagasawa T., Haga A., Nakano M., Hyperfine splitting of hydrogenlike atoms based on relativistic mean field theory // *Phys. Rev. C.* — 2004. — Vol. 69. — P. 034322: 1–10.
13. Bouchiat M. A., Linear Stark effect in dressed atoms as a signal to measure a nuclear anapole moment with a cold-atom fountain or interferometer // *Phys. Rev. Lett.* — 2007. — Vol. 98. — P. 043003.
14. Tomaselli M., Kuhl T., Seelig P., Holbrow C. and Kankeleit E., Hyperfine splittings of hydrogenlike ions and the dynamic-correlation model for one-hole nuclei // *Phys. Rev. C.* — 1998. — Vol. 58, N 3. — P. 1524–1534.
15. Tomaselli M., Schneider S. M., Kankeleit E., Kuhl T., Ground state magnetization of ^{209}Bi in a dynamic-correlation model // *Phys. Rev. C.* — 1995. — Vol. 51, N 6. — P. 2989–2997.
16. Labzowsky L. N., Johnson W. R., Soff G., Schneider S. M., Dynamic proton model for the hyperfine structure of H-like ion $^{209}\text{Bi}^{+82}$ // *Phys. Rev. A.* — 1995. — Vol. 51, N 6. — P. 4597–4602.
17. Bieron J., Pyykko P., Degree of accuracy in determining the nuclear electric quadrupole moment of radium // *Phys. Rev. A.* — 2005. — Vol. 71. — P. 032502.
18. Porsev S. G., Rakhilina Yu. G., Kozlov M. G., Non-conservation of spatial parity in atomic ytterbium // *JETP Lett.* — 1995. — Vol. 61. — P. 449–453.
19. Dzuba V. A., Flambaum V. V., Sushkov O. P., Polarizabilities and parity nonconservation in the Cs atom and limits on the deviation from the standard electroweak model // *Phys. Rev. A.* — 1997. — Vol. 56. — P. R4357–4360.
20. Safronova M. S., Johnson W. R., Derevianko A., Relativistic many-body calculations of energy levels, hyperfine constants, electric-dipole matrix elements, and static polarizabilities for alkali-metal atoms // *Phys. Rev. A.* — 1999. — Vol. 60. — P. 044103.
21. Bennett S. C., Roberts J. L., Wieman C. E., Measurement of the dc Stark shift of the 6S-7S transition in atomic caesium // *Phys. Rev. A.* — 1999. — Vol. 59. — P. 3254–3260.
22. Dzuba V. A., Flambaum V. V., Off-diagonal hyperfine interaction and parity nonconservation in cesium // *Phys. Rev. A.* — 2000. — Vol. 62. — P. 052101.
23. Johnson W. R., Bednyakov I., Soff G., Vacuum-polarization corrections to the parity-nonconserving 6s-7s transition amplitude in ^{133}Cs // *Phys. Rev. Lett.* — 2001. — Vol. 87. — P. 233001.
24. Dzuba V. A., Harabati C., Johnson W. R., Safronova M. S., Breit correction to the parity-nonconservation amplitude in cesium // *Phys. Rev. A.* — 2001. — Vol. 63. — P. 044103.
25. Kozlov M. G., Porsev S. G., Tupitsyn I. I., High-Accuracy calculation of 6s-7s parity-nonconserving amplitude in Cs // *Phys. Rev. Lett.* — 2001. — Vol. 86. — P. 3260–3263.
26. Johnson W. R., Sapirstein J., Blundell S. A., Atomic structure calculations associated with PNC experiments in atomic caesium // *Phys. Scripta T.* — 1999. — Vol. 46. — P. 184–192.
27. Vasilyev A. A., Savukov I. M., Safronova M. S., Berry H. G., Measurement of 6s-7p transition probabilities in atomic Cs and revised value for the weak charge Q_W // *Phys. Rev. A.* — 2002. — Vol. 66. — P. 020101.

28. Johnson W. R., Safronova M. S., Safronova U. I., Combined effect of coherent Z exchange and hyperfine interaction in parity-nonconserving interaction // Phys. Rev. A. — 2003. — Vol. 67. — P. 062106.
29. Shabaev V. M., Tupitsyn I. I., Pachucki K., Plunien G., Yerokhin V. A., Radiative and correlation effects and parity-nonconserving transition amplitude in heavy alkali-metal atoms // Phys. Rev. A. — 2005. — Vol. 72. — P. 062105.
30. Safronova M. S., Johnson W. R., Safronova U. I., Cowan T. E., Relativistic many-body theory calculation of the Stark-induced amplitude of the 6p-7p transition in thallium // Phys. Rev. A. — 2006. — Vol. 74. — P. 022504.
31. Dzuba V. A., Flambaum V. V., Safronova M. S., Breit interaction and parity-nonconservation in many-electron atoms // Phys. Rev. A. — 2006. — Vol. 73. — P. 022112.
32. Glushkov A. V., Ambrosov S. V., Khetselius O. Yu., et al, QED calculation of the super heavy elements ions: energy levels, radiative corrections and hfs for different nuclear models // Nucl. Phys. A: Nucl. and Hadr. Phys. — 2004. — Vol. 734. — P. 21—28.
33. Kuchiev M. Yu., Flambaum V. V., Radiative corrections to parity nonconservation in atoms and test of the standard model // J. Phys. B. — 2003. — Vol. 36. — P. R191—222.
34. Johnson W. R., Safronova M. S., Safronova U. I., Combined effect of coherent Z exchange and the hyperfine interaction in the atomic parity-non-conserving interaction// Phys. Rev. A. — 2003. — Vol. 67. — P. 062106.
35. Serot B. D., Walecka J. D., Advances in Nuclear Physics Vol. 16: The Relativistic Nuclear Many Body Problem. Plenum Press, New York, 1999.
36. Sahoo B. K., Gopakumar G., Chaudhuri R. K., Das B. P., Merlitz H., Mahapatra U. S., Makherjee D., Magnetic dipole hyperfine interactions in $^{137}\text{Ba}^+$ and the accuracies of neutral weak interaction matrix elements // Phys. Rev. A. — 2003. — Vol. 68. — P. 040501.
37. Glushkov A. V., Ivanov L. N., Ivanova E. P., Radiation decay of atomic states. Generalized Energy approach // Autoionization Phenomena in Atoms. — M.: Moscow State University. — 1986. — P. 58—160.
38. Glushkov A. V., Khetselius O. Yu., Ambrosov S. V. et al, QED calculation of heavy ions with account for the correlation, radiative and nuclear effects // Recent Advances in Theory of Phys. and Chem. Systems (Berlin, Springer). — 2006. — Vol. 15. — P. 285—300.
39. Glushkov A. V., Khetselius O. Yu., Lovett L., Floriko T. A. et al, Gauge-invariant QED perturbation theory approach to calculating nuclear electric quadrupole moments, hyperfine structure constants for heavy atoms and ions // Frontiers in Quantum Systems in Chemistry and Physics (Berlin, Springer). — 2008. — Vol. 18. — P. 505—522.
40. Khetselius O. Yu., On sensing nuclei of the lanthanide isotopes by means of laser spectroscopy of hyperfine structure ^{165}Ho , ^{169}Tm // Sensor Electr. Microsyst. Techn. — 2008. — N 2. — P. 5—9.
41. Khetselius O. Yu., On possibility of sensing nuclei of the rare isotopes by means of laser spectroscopy of hyperfine structure // Sensor Electr. Microsyst. Techn. — 2008. — N 3. — P. 28—33.
42. Khetselius O. Yu., Relativistic calculating the hyperfine structure parameters for heavy-elements and laser detecting the isotopes and nuclear reaction products // Phys. Scripta T. — 2009. — Vol. 135. — P. 014023.
43. Khetselius O. Yu., On sensing nuclei of the ^{207}Bi & ^{207}Pb isotopes by means of laser spectroscopy of hyperfine // Sensor Electr. and Microsyst. Techn. — 2009. — N 2. — P. 26—29.
44. Khetselius O. Yu., Relativistic perturbation theory calculation of the hyperfine structure parameters for some heavy-element isotopes // Int. Journ. of Quantum Chemistry. — 2009. — Vol. 109. — P. 3330—3335.

UDC539.19

O. Yu. Khetselius

DETERMINATION OF THE HYPERFINE AND ELECTROWEAK INTERACTIONS PARAMETERS AND PARITY NON-CONSERVATION AMPLITUDES IN HEAVY FINITE FERMI-SYSTEMS WITHIN NUCLEAR-QED THEORY

Abstract

It is presented new approach to determination of the electroweak and hyperfine interactions parameters and parity non-conservation effect in heavy atomic and nuclear systems, based on the combined QED perturbation theory formalism and relativistic nuclear mean-field theory. Results of estimating some fundamental hyperfine and electroweak constants in the Cs, Tl and Yb isotopes are presented. Firstly it is predicted the weak charge for the Yb nucleus.

Key words: electroweak interaction, parity non-conservation, nuclear-QED theory.

УДК 539.19

О. Ю. Хецелиус

ОПРЕДЕЛЕНИЕ ПАРАМЕТРОВ СВЕРХТОНКОГО И ЭЛЕКТРОСЛАБОГО ВЗАИМОДЕЙСТВИЯ И АМПЛИТУД ПЕРЕХОДОВ С НЕСОХРАНЕНИЕМ ЧЕТНОСТИ В ТЯЖЕЛЫХ КОНЕЧНЫХ ФЕРМИТ-СИСТЕМАХ В РАМКАХ ЯДЕРНО-КЭД ТЕОРИИ

Резюме

На основе нового подхода к определению параметров сверхтонкого, электрослабого взаимодействий и амплитуд переходов с несохранением четности в тяжелых атомных и ядерных системах, базирующегося на ядерно-КЭД теории возмущений и релятивистской ядерной модели среднего поля, получены уточненные данные по параметрам сверхтонкого и электрослабого взаимодействий для ряда изотопов Cs, Tl, Yb. Впервые предсказано уточненное значение слабого заряда для ядра Yb.

Ключевые слова: электрослабое взаимодействие, несохранение четности, ядерно-КЭД теория.

**ВИЗНАЧЕННЯ ПАРАМЕТРІВ ПОНАДТОНКОЇ ТА ЕЛЕКТРОСЛАБКОЇ ВЗАЄМОДІЇ І АМПЛІТУД ПЕРЕХОДІВ
З НЕЗБЕРЕЖЕННЯМ ПАРНОСТІ У ВАЖКИХ СКІНЧЕННИХ ФЕРМІ-СИСТЕМАХ
У МЕЖАХ ЯДЕРНО-КЕД ТЕОРІЇ**

Резюме

На основі нового підходу до визначення параметрів понадтонкої, електрослабкої взаємодії та амплітуд переходів з незбереженням парності у важких атомних та ядерних системах, який базується на ядерно-КЕД теорії збурень та релятивістській моделі середнього поля, отримані уточнені дані по параметрах понадтонкої, електрослабкої взаємодії для ряду ізотопів Cs, Tl, Yb. Вперше передбачено уточнене значення слабого заряду для ядра Yb.

Ключові слова: електрослабка взаємодія, незбереження парності, ядерно-КЕД теорія.